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## HyperLogic ${ }^{\circledR}$

New-Millennium Logic-based Computing \& Artificial Intelligence

## HyperGrader ${ }^{\circledR}$
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# Explorations in HyperLogic 

Meta-theory of quantifiers for NARS Level-I coverage ...

Planning by GPT-4 beyond PDDL? ...

## Turing-decidability/computability

## Turing Machines


a special state stops the machine

```
stop
```

Program


## Even Number Function

- $f(n)=1$ if $n$ is even; else $f(n)=0$

| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | I | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | । | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 4 | blank | Left |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | Same |
| 4 | I | 3 | 1 | Left |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 2 | blank | Left |
| 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | Same |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | Left |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | l | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | Left |  |
| 4 |  | 1 | Same |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | Left |
| 4 |  |  | I | Lame |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | Left |
| 4 |  |  | Same |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | Left |
| 4 |  |  | I | Lame |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | Left |
| 4 |  |  | Same |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| I | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 2 | blank | Left |
| 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | Same |
| 4 | 1 |  |  | Left |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| I | 1 | 1 | I | Right |
| I | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 2 | blank | Left |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | Same |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | Left |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | 1 | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 2 | blank | Left |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | Same |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | Left |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | I | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 2 | blank | Left |
| 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | Same |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | Left |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | l | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | Left |  |
| 4 |  | 1 | Same |  |



| current state | current symbol | next state | next symbol | direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | blank | Left |
| 3 | blank | stop | 0 | Same |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | blank | Left |
| 2 | blank | stop | I | Same |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | l | Right |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Right |
| 1 | blank | 0 | 2 | blank |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | Left |  |
| 4 |  | 1 | Same |  |

- Functions that can be computed in this manner are Turing-computable.
- Functions that can be computed in this manner are Turing-computable.
- Decision problems (Yes/No problems) that can answered in this manner are Turing-decidable. (Here, I can be used for $\mathbf{Y} ; 2$ for $\mathbf{N}$.)


## For more on TMs ...

https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/turing-machine

## Theorem: The Halting Problem is Turing-unsolvable.

We assume an encoding ofTMs that permits identification of each with some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and say that the binary halt function $h$ maps a machine and its input to I if that machine halts, and to 2 if it doesn't:

$$
\forall m, n[\operatorname{Goes}(m, n, \text { halt }) \rightarrow h(m, n)=1]
$$

$h(m, n)=1$ if $m: n \longrightarrow$ halt
$h(m, n)=2$ if $m: n \longrightarrow \infty$
So, the theorem we need can be expressed this way:
( $\star$ ) $\neg \exists m^{h}\left[m^{h}\right.$ computes $\left.h\right]$
where a TM that computes a function $f$ starts with arguments to $f$ on its tape and goes to the value of $f$ applied to those arguments. Next, let's construct a TM $m^{c}$ that copies a block of I's (separated by a blank \#), and (what BBJ in their Computability \& Logic call) a "dithering"TM:
$m^{d}: n \longrightarrow$ halt if $n>1 ; m^{d}: n \longrightarrow \infty$ if $n=1$

Proof: Suppose for reductio that $m^{h^{*}}$ [this is our witness for the existential quantifier in ( $\star$ )] computes $h$. Then we can make a composite machine $m^{3}$ consisting of $m^{c}$ connected to and feeding $m^{h^{*}}$ which is in turn connected to and feeding $m^{d}$. It's easy to see (use some paper and pencil/stylus and tablet!) that
(1) if $h(n, n)=1$, then $m^{3}: n \longrightarrow \infty$
and
(2) if $h(n, n)=2$, then $m^{3}: n \longrightarrow$ halt.

To reach our desired contradiction, we simply ask: What happens when we instantiate $n$ to $m^{3}$ in (1) and (2)? (E.g., perhaps the TM $m^{3}$ is 5 , then we would have $h(5,5)$.) The answer to this question, and its leading directly to just what the doctor ordered, is left to the reader (but can be easily enough done/verified in HyperSlate ${ }^{\circledR}$ ). QED

## Proof-by-Cases Verification in HyperSlate ${ }^{\circledR}$



## Oracular Verification in HyperSlate ${ }^{\circledR}$



## Church's Theorem \& its proof ...

Church's Theorem: The Entscheidungsproblem is Turing-unsolvable.

Proof-sketch: We need to show that the question $\Phi \vdash \phi$ ? is not Turing-decidable. (Here we are working within the framework of $\mathscr{L}_{1}$.) To begin, note that competent users of HyperSlate ${ }^{\circledR}$ know that any Turing machine $m$ can be formalized in a HyperSlate ${ }^{\circledR}$ workspace. (Explore! Prove it to yourself in hands-on fashion!) They will also then know that
$(\dagger) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \exists \Phi, \phi[\Phi \vdash \phi \leftrightarrow m: n \longrightarrow$ halt $]$
where $\Phi$ and $\phi$ are built in HyperSlate ${ }^{\circledR}$.

Now, let's assume for contradiction that theoremhood in first-order logic can be decided by a Turing machine $m^{t}$. But this is absurd. Why? Because imagine that someone now comes to us asking whether some arbitrary TM $m$ halts. We can infallibly and algorithmically supply a correct answer, because we can formalize $m$ in line with ( $\dagger$ ) and then employ $m^{t}$ to give us the answer. QED

## Church slår Turing!

