

Review of Extensional (Including Higher-Order) Logics (contrasted with intensional ones)

Selmer Bringsjord

Rensselaer AI & Reasoning (RAIR) Lab
Department of Cognitive Science
Department of Computer Science
Lally School of Management & Technology
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI)
Troy, New York 12180 USA

Intermediate Formal Logic & AI (IFLAI2)
9/5/2023



Mechanics ...

Mechanics ...

Mechanics ...

Access codes are & have been for sale in the Follett Bookstore today.

Mechanics ...

Access codes are & have been for sale in the Follett Bookstore today.

Watch the “Getting Off The Ground ...” tutorial, available by link in “Tutorials” section of our course web page.

Mechanics ...

Access codes are & have been for sale in the Follett Bookstore today.

Watch the “Getting Off The Ground ...” tutorial, available by link in “Tutorials” section of our course web page.

Important: Your “University ID” as a student @ RPI is you RIN #.

Mechanics ...

Access codes are & have been for sale in the Follett Bookstore today.

Watch the “Getting Off The Ground ...” tutorial, available by link in “Tutorials” section of our course web page.


Important: Your “University ID” as a student @ RPI is you RIN #.

As part of review, a personalized problem should appear for you in HG now-ish, with a deadline earlier today~~of the end of today's class~~. Try it! In fact let's look @ mine now, together ...

Extensional vs Intensional Logics in a Nutshell Example

...

Blinky



1




2



3

Blinky



1




2




3



Blinky



1




2



3

Blinky



1






2



3


Blinky




2

3

Blinky




1



2

3



Blinky




1

2

3



Blinky



1




2



3

Blinky



1





2



3

Blinky



1




2



3

The ball is in the cup at location #1.

Blinky



1



2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1.

Loc(ball,1)

Blinky



1



2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1.

Loc(ball,1)

(Loc ball 1)

Blinky



1



2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1.

FALSE Loc(ball,1)

(Loc ball 1)

Blinky



1



2




3

FALSE Loc(ball,1)

(Loc ball 1)

Blinky



1



2




3

FALSE

(Loc ball 1)

Blinky



1





2




3

(Loc ball 1)


Blinky



1





2



3

Blinky



1





2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1 or the ball is at location #3.


Blinky



1



2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1 or the ball is at location #3.

$\text{Loc}(\text{ball},1) \vee \text{Loc}(\text{ball},3)$

Blinky



1



2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1 or the ball is at location #3.

$\text{Loc}(\text{ball},1) \vee \text{Loc}(\text{ball},3)$

(or (Loc ball 1) (Loc ball 3))

Blinky



1



2




3

The ball is in the cup at location #1 or the ball is at location #3.

FALSE $\text{Loc}(\text{ball},1) \vee \text{Loc}(\text{ball},3)$

(or (Loc ball 1) (Loc ball 3))

Blinky



1



2




3

FALSE $\text{Loc}(\text{ball},1) \vee \text{Loc}(\text{ball},3)$

(or (Loc ball 1) (Loc ball 3))

Blinky



1



2




3

FALSE

(or (Loc ball 1) (Loc ball 3))

Blinky



1




2



3

FALSE

Blinky



1





2



3

Blinky



1




2



3

Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.

Blinky



1



2




3

Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.

$B(\text{blinky}, \text{Loc}(\text{ball}, 1))$

Blinky



1



2





3

Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.


$B(\text{blinky}, \text{Loc}(\text{ball}, 1))$

(Believes! Blinky (Loc ball 1))


Blinky



1



2





3

Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.


??? B(blinky, Loc(ball,1))

(Believes! Blinky (Loc ball 1))


Blinky



1



2




3

Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.

??? B(blinky, Loc(ball,1))

(Believes! Blinky (Loc ball 1))

Blinky



1



2




3

In extensional logics, what is denoted is conflated with meaning (the latter being naively compositional), and intensional attitudes like *believes*, *knows*, *hopes*, *fears*, etc cannot be represented and reasoned over smoothly (e.g. without fear of inconsistency rising up).


Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.

??? $B(\text{blinky}, \text{Loc}(\text{ball}, 1))$

(Believes! Blinky (Loc ball 1))



Blinky



1



2



3


In extensional logics, what is denoted is conflated with meaning (the latter being naively compositional), and intensional attitudes like *believes*, *knows*, *hopes*, *fears*, etc cannot be represented and reasoned over smoothly (e.g. without fear of inconsistency rising up).

Blinky believes that the ball is in the cup at location #1.


???

$B(\text{Blinky}, \text{Loc}(\text{ball}, 1))$

(Believes Blinky (Loc ball 1))



Blinky



2



3

In extensional logics, what is denoted is conflated with meaning (the latter being naively compositional), and intensional attitudes like *believes*, *knows*, *hopes*, *fears*, etc cannot be represented and reasoned over smoothly (e.g. without fear of inconsistency rising up).

For Brave Adventurers

For Brave Adventurers

“Everything smart knows that everything tinks anything that tinks something identical with something.”

For Brave Adventurers

“Everything smart knows that everything tinks anything that tinks something identical with something.”

“Blinky is smart.”

For Brave Adventurers

“Everything smart knows that everything tinks anything that tinks something identical with something.”

“Blinky is smart.”

Therefore:

For Brave Adventurers

“Everything smart knows that everything tinks anything that tinks something identical with something.”

“Blinky is smart.”

Therefore:

“Everything tinks anything that tinks something identical with something.”

Layman Allen
Committee Problem ...

“Well, if your AI is so smart, let me see it do my taxes for me, and for a company too.”

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 25

AUTUMN 1957

NUMBER 1

TOWARD A MORE SYSTEMATIC DRAFTING AND INTERPRETING OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE: EXPENSES, LOSSES AND BAD DEBTS

LAYMAN E. ALLEN* AND GABRIEL ORECHKOFF†

SUPPOSE that you, as a reasonable man, are asked whether the following two sets of rules mean exactly the same thing. If they do and if you intend to communicate your message as effectively as possible, which of the two sets would you choose to state the organizational rules of your law school?

Set 1

- A. The financial committee shall be chosen from among the general committee.
- B. No one shall be a member of both the general and library committees unless he is also on the financial committee.
- C. No member of the library committee shall be on the financial committee.¹

Set 2

- A. The financial committee shall be chosen from among the general committee.
- B. No member of the general committee shall be on the library committee.

We suspect that most readers will have little difficulty in deciding the second question; but even after careful reading of the two sets of rules, they will remain a little puzzled as to whether both sets say the same thing. We further suspect that lawyers waste a great deal of their mental energy by using inadequate and inappropriate intellectual tools to figure out similar logical problems in their everyday work. A symbolic logician could quickly ascertain that the two sets of rules are equivalent and relieve his mind to focus on the more important problem of deciding which is the more appropriate choice. Since law

* Social Science Research Council Fellow, Yale Law School. Member Connecticut Bar.

† Member, New York Bar.

¹ This example is given in Berkeley, *The Algebra of States and Events*, 78 *Scientific Monthly* 232 (1954).

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 25

AUTUMN 1957

NUMBER 1

TOWARD A MORE SYSTEMATIC DRAFTING AND INTERPRETING OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE: EXPENSES, LOSSES AND BAD DEBTS

LAYMAN E. ALLEN* AND GABRIEL ORECHKOFF†

SUPPOSE that you, as a reasonable man, are asked whether the following two sets of rules mean exactly the same thing. If they do and if you intend to communicate your message as effectively as possible, which of the two sets would you choose to state the organizational rules of your law school?

Set 1

- A. The financial committee shall be chosen from among the general committee.
- B. No one shall be a member of both the general and library committees unless he is also on the financial committee.
- C. No member of the library committee shall be on the financial committee.¹

Set 2

- A. The financial committee shall be chosen from among the general committee.
- B. No member of the general committee shall be on the library committee.

We suspect that most readers will have little difficulty in deciding the second question; but even after careful reading of the two sets of rules, they will remain a little puzzled as to whether both sets say the same thing. We further suspect that lawyers waste a great deal of their mental energy by using inadequate and inappropriate intellectual tools to figure out similar logical problems in their everyday work. A symbolic logician could quickly ascertain that the two sets of rules are equivalent and relieve his mind to focus on the more important problem of deciding which is the more appropriate choice. Since law

* Social Science Research Council Fellow, Yale Law School. Member Connecticut Bar.


† Member, New York Bar.

¹ This example is given in Berkeley, *The Algebra of States and Events*, 78 *Scientific Monthly* 232 (1954).

SpecialLlamasDisjunction

...

The Universe of Logics



Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;

or

there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;

or

there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;
or
there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;
or
there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Is this disjunction TRUE, FALSE, or UNKNOWN?

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;
or
there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;
or
there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Is this disjunction TRUE, FALSE, or UNKNOWN?

(Can you (later, if catching up) build a formal, verifying proof in HyperSlate®!)

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;
or
there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;
or
there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Is this disjunction **TRUE**, FALSE, or UNKNOWN?

(Can you (later, if catching up) build a formal, verifying proof in HyperSlate®!)

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;

or

there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;

or

there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;
or
there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;
or
there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Is this disjunction TRUE, FALSE, or UNKNOWN?

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;
or
there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;
or
there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Is this disjunction **TRUE**, FALSE, or UNKNOWN?

Special Llamas Disjunction

There's a thing such that it's both a llama and a non-llama;
or
there's a thing such that if it's a llama, everything is a llama;
or
there's a thing such that every llama is a non-llama.

Is this disjunction **TRUE**, FALSE, or UNKNOWN?

Can you build a formal, verifying proof in HyperSlate®?

Second-Order Logic and the k -Order Ladder ...

FOL

✓ FOL

✓ FOL

Epistemic + FOL
 $B_d B_v B_d V v$

✓ FOL

Epistemic + FOL (for coverage of “killer” robots, later)
 $B_d B_v B_d V v$

✓ FOL

✓ Epistemic + FOL (for coverage of “killer” robots, later)
 $B_d B_v B_d V v$

✓ FOL

✓ Epistemic + FOL (for coverage of “killer” robots, later)
 $B_d B_v B_d V v$

TOL

$\exists X [X(j) \wedge \neg X(m) \wedge S(X)]$

✓ FOL

✓ Epistemic + FOL (for coverage of “killer” robots, later)
 $B_d B_v B_d V v$

TOL

$\exists X [X(j) \wedge \neg X(m) \wedge S(X)]$



✓ FOL

✓ Epistemic + FOL (for coverage of “killer” robots, later)
 $B_d B_v B_d V v$

TOL

? $\exists X [X(j) \wedge \neg X(m) \wedge S(X)]$



Double-Minded Man

The Contemporary Craft of Creating Characters Meets Today's Cognitive Architectures: A Case Study in Expressivity*

Selmer Bringsjord • John Licato • Alexander Bringsjord


version of 0121161500NY

Contents

Abstract	2
1 Introduction	1
2 What is Expressivity?	3
3 <i>Double-Minded Man</i> , and Expressivity Challenges Therefrom	5
3.1 Gist of <i>Double-Minded Man</i>	5
3.2 Basic Demands of Movie Outline 3	6
3.3 Harriet, Joseph, and a Pair of Expressivity Challenges	6
4 The “No Way” Category and the Expressivity Challenges	7
5 The “Maybe” Category ACT-R, and the Expressivity Challenges	7
6 CLARION and the Expressivity Challenges	9
6.1 Overview of CLARION	9
6.1.1 NACS — the Non-Action-Centered Subsystem	9
6.2 The Expressivity of CLARION	11
6.2.1 Representing Structured Knowledge in General	11
6.2.2 FOL-level Expressivity in CLARION	12
6.2.3 Challenges (1) and (2) Met	13
7 Concerns & Objections; Replies	14
7.1 Concern 1: “What is the purpose?”	14
7.2 Concern 2: “What exactly are these ‘challenges’?”	16
7.3 Concern 3: “Can CLARION handle <i>Double-Minded Man</i> ?”	16
7.4 Concern 4: “What about the character-centric AI of Mateas et al.?”	16
8 Conclusion	17
References	20

*We are indebted to two anonymous referees, and editor Jeremy Turner, for insightful suggestions and objections. Any remaining deficiencies are due to our own failings.

Double-Minded Man

A screenshot of a movie outline software interface. The window title is 'Movie Outline - Double-Minded_Man_010316.mvo'. The interface includes a toolbar with various editing tools, a font menu set to 'Arial' size '12', and a 'Scene Heading' dropdown. Below the toolbar are tabs for 'Outline', 'Script', 'Notes', 'Characters', 'FeelFactor', 'Reference', 'Library', 'PowerView', 'Step Cards', and 'Story Tasks'. The 'Outline' tab is active, showing a list of scenes on the right and a detailed script for the first scene, '1. TWIRL - DAY', in the main area. The script text describes a character, Harriet Smith, waiting at a red light in a car, with a motorcycle accident occurring nearby.

1. TWIRL - DAY

68-year-old Harriet Smith sits with two wrinkled hands firmly on the wheel of her rust-eaten Subaru wagon, staring straight ahead through the top level of bifocals as she waits serenely at a red light.

Harriet is alone in the car. To her right is another vehicle, also waiting, in this case to make a right turn; it's a sleek, low-slung, black Camaro.

We are inside the cabin with Harriet. The Subaru's sound system softly plays choral music. Harriet's lips move slightly as she internally sings along, mouthing a slow aria. Her head weaves slightly side to side, in the rhythm with the music.

Things are calm as can be here inside the car with Harriet. There are a pair of well-worn Bibles on the empty passenger seat beside her, one with a gold-lettered 'Harriet' on its leather front cover, the other with a matching 'Joseph' on its front cover.

Harriet's eyes swivel up to the light: still red. We wait with her.

Suddenly there is a piercing SCREECH outside. Harriet jerks her head to the right and we follow her line of sight.

A sleek motorcycle has swerved out of its lane and is now streaking straight for the right side of the Camaro beside Harriet's car.

The bike slams with CLANG into the side of the Camaro. Its rider is flung up and forward into the air, twirling passed Harriet's windshield.

We now watch from Harriet's POV, in slow motion. The black-leather-clad motorcyclist sails by Harriet's windshield, airborne. We see a man's face, clearly: His elephant-hide skin tells us that he is well beyond middle-age. Yet thick, black curls of youthful hair emerge from under his helmet. The rider has only one half of a black, bushy, swept-out, waxed mustache. His eyes are weary and grey, and appear to lock with Harriet's for an instant.

We return to normal speed. The body is now lying on the incoming lane to the left of Harriet's Subaru, perfectly still on the blacktop, the head twisted into an impossible angle. Blood seeps from a nostril. Beside the lifeless head, a BMW medallion lies on the pavement, glinting in the sunlight.

1. TWIRL - DAY

Step 1 of 3

The Contemporary Craft of Creating Characters
Meets Today's Cognitive Architecture:
A Case Study in Expertise*

Selmer Bringsjord • John Lewis • Alexander Bringsjord
version of 02/16/2016

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 What is Expertise?	2
3 Double-Minded Men, and Expertise Challenge Theories	3
4 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	4
5 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	5
6 LABOUR and the Expertise Challenge	6
7 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	7
8 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	8
9 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	9
10 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	10
11 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	11
12 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	12
13 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	13
14 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	14
15 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	15
16 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	16
17 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	17
18 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	18
19 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	19
20 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	20
21 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	21
22 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	22
23 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	23
24 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	24
25 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	25
26 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	26
27 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	27
28 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	28
29 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	29
30 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	30
31 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	31
32 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	32
33 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	33
34 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	34
35 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	35
36 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	36
37 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	37
38 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	38
39 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	39
40 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	40
41 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	41
42 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	42
43 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	43
44 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	44
45 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	45
46 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	46
47 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	47
48 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	48
49 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	49
50 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	50
51 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	51
52 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	52
53 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	53
54 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	54
55 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	55
56 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	56
57 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	57
58 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	58
59 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	59
60 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	60
61 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	61
62 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	62
63 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	63
64 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	64
65 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	65
66 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	66
67 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	67
68 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	68
69 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	69
70 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	70
71 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	71
72 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	72
73 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	73
74 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	74
75 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	75
76 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	76
77 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	77
78 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	78
79 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	79
80 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	80
81 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	81
82 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	82
83 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	83
84 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	84
85 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	85
86 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	86
87 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	87
88 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	88
89 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	89
90 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	90
91 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	91
92 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	92
93 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	93
94 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	94
95 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	95
96 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	96
97 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	97
98 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	98
99 The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	99
100 The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge	100

*This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Double-Minded Man

Double-Minded Man
by
S Bringsjord & A Bringsjord

DRAFT #5
© June 30 2016

Selmer.Bringsjord@gmail.com

The Contemporary Craft of Creating Characters
 Meets Today's Cognitive Architecture:
 A Case Study in Expertise
 Silver Stripling • John Linn • Alexander Stripling
 volume of 022.161007

Contents

Introduction

1. What is Expertise?

2. Double-Minded Men, and Expertise Challenge Theories

3. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

4. The "Two" Challenge and the Expertise Challenge

5. The "Single" Challenge and the Expertise Challenge

6. CLARION and the Expertise Challenge

7. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

8. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

9. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

10. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

11. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

12. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

13. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

14. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

15. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

16. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

17. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

18. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

19. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

20. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

21. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

22. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

23. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

24. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

25. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

26. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

27. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

28. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

29. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

30. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

31. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

32. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

33. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

34. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

35. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

36. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

37. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

38. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

39. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

40. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

41. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

42. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

43. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

44. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

45. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

46. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

47. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

48. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

49. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

50. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

51. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

52. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

53. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

54. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

55. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

56. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

57. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

58. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

59. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

60. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

61. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

62. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

63. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

64. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

65. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

66. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

67. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

68. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

69. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

70. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

71. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

72. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

73. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

74. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

75. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

76. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

77. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

78. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

79. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

80. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

81. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

82. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

83. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

84. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

85. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

86. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

87. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

88. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

89. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

90. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

91. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

92. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

93. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

94. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

95. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

96. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

97. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

98. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

99. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

100. The Role of the Expert in the Expertise Challenge

Double-Minded Man

1. TWIRL - DAY

68-year-old Harriet Smith sits with two wrinkled hands firmly on the wheel of her rust-eaten Subaru wagon, staring straight ahead through the top level of bifocals as she waits serenely at a red light.

Harriet is alone in the car. To her right is another vehicle, also waiting, in this case to make a right turn; it's a sleek, low-slung, black Camaro.

We are inside the cabin with Harriet. The Subaru's sound system softly plays choral music. Harriet's lips move slightly as she internally sings along, mouthing a slow aria. Her head weaves slightly side to side, in the rhythm with the music.

Things are calm as can be here inside the car with Harriet. There are a pair of well-worn Bibles on the empty passenger seat beside her, one with a gold-lettered 'Harriet' on its leather front cover, the other with a matching 'Joseph' on its front cover.

Harriet's eyes swivel up to the light: still red. We wait with her.

Suddenly there is a piercing SCREECH outside. Harriet jerks her head to the right and we follow her line of sight.

A sleek motorcycle has swerved out of its lane and is now streaking straight for the right side of the Camaro beside Harriet's car.

The bike slams with CLANG into the side of the Camaro. Its rider is flung up and forward into the air, twirling passed Harriet's windshield.

We now watch from Harriet's POV, in slow motion. The black-leather-clad motorcyclist sails by Harriet's windshield, airborne. We see a man's face, clearly: His elephant-hide skin tells us that he is well beyond middle-age. Yet thick, black curls of youthful hair emerge from under his helmet. The rider has only one half of a black, bushy, swept-out, waxed mustache. His eyes are weary and grey, and appear to lock with Harriet's for an instant.

We return to normal speed. The body is now lying on the incoming lane to the left of Harriet's Subaru, perfectly still on the blacktop, the head twisted into an impossible angle. Blood seeps from a nostril. Beside the lifeless head, a BMW medallion lies on the pavement, glinting in the sunlight.

The Contemporary Craft of Creating Characters
Meets Today's Cognitive Architecture:
A Case Study in Empathy*

Silver Stripling • John Lewis • Alexander Stripling
Volume of *SCIENCE*

Contents

Introduction	1
What is Empathy?	2
Double-Minded Men, and Empathy Challenge Theories	3
1.1 The Double-Minded Man	3
1.2 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	4
1.3 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	5
1.4 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	6
1.5 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	7
1.6 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	8
1.7 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	9
1.8 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	10
1.9 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	11
1.10 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	12
1.11 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	13
1.12 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	14
1.13 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	15
1.14 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	16
1.15 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	17
1.16 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	18
1.17 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	19
1.18 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	20
1.19 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	21
1.20 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	22
1.21 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	23
1.22 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	24
1.23 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	25
1.24 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	26
1.25 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	27
1.26 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	28
1.27 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	29
1.28 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	30
1.29 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	31
1.30 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	32
1.31 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	33
1.32 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	34
1.33 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	35
1.34 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	36
1.35 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	37
1.36 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	38
1.37 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	39
1.38 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	40
1.39 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	41
1.40 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	42
1.41 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	43
1.42 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	44
1.43 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	45
1.44 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	46
1.45 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	47
1.46 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	48
1.47 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	49
1.48 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	50
1.49 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	51
1.50 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	52
1.51 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	53
1.52 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	54
1.53 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	55
1.54 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	56
1.55 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	57
1.56 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	58
1.57 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	59
1.58 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	60
1.59 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	61
1.60 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	62
1.61 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	63
1.62 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	64
1.63 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	65
1.64 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	66
1.65 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	67
1.66 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	68
1.67 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	69
1.68 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	70
1.69 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	71
1.70 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	72
1.71 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	73
1.72 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	74
1.73 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	75
1.74 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	76
1.75 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	77
1.76 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	78
1.77 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	79
1.78 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	80
1.79 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	81
1.80 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	82
1.81 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	83
1.82 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	84
1.83 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	85
1.84 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	86
1.85 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	87
1.86 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	88
1.87 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	89
1.88 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	90
1.89 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	91
1.90 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	92
1.91 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	93
1.92 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	94
1.93 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	95
1.94 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	96
1.95 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	97
1.96 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	98
1.97 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	99
1.98 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	100
1.99 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	101
1.100 The Double-Minded Man and Empathy Challenge	102

*This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Double-Minded Man

1. TWIRL - DAY

68-year-old Harriet Smith sits with two wrinkled hands firmly on the wheel of her rust-eaten Subaru wagon, staring straight ahead through the top level of bifocals as she waits serenely at a red light.

Harriet is alone in the car. To her right is another vehicle, also waiting, in this case to make a right turn; it's a sleek, low-slung, black Camaro.

We are inside the cabin with Harriet. The Subaru's sound system softly plays choral music. Harriet's lips move slightly as she internally sings along, mouthing a slow aria. Her head weaves slightly side to side, in the rhythm with the music.

Things are calm as can be here inside the car with Harriet. There are a pair of well-worn Bibles on the empty passenger seat beside her, one with a gold-lettered 'Harriet' on its leather front cover, the other with a matching 'Joseph' on its front cover.

Harriet's eyes swivel up to the light: still red. We wait with her.

Suddenly there is a piercing SCREECH outside. Harriet jerks her head to the right and we follow her line of sight.

A sleek motorcycle has swerved out of its lane and is now streaking straight for the right side of the Camaro beside Harriet's car.

The bike slams with CLANG into the side of the Camaro. Its rider is flung up and forward into the air, twirling passed Harriet's windshield.

We now watch from Harriet's POV, in slow motion. The black-leather-clad motorcyclist sails by Harriet's windshield, airborne. We see a man's face, clearly: His elephant-hide skin tells us that he is well beyond middle-age. Yet thick, black curls of youthful hair emerge from under his helmet. The rider has only one half of a black, bushy, swept-out, waxed mustache. His eyes are weary and grey, and appear to lock with Harriet's for an instant.

We return to normal speed. The body is now lying on the incoming lane to the left of Harriet's Subaru, perfectly still on the blacktop, the head twisted into an impossible angle. Blood seeps from a nostril. Beside the lifeless head, a BMW medallion lies on the pavement, glinting in the sunlight.

The Contemporary Craft of Creating Characters
 Meets Today's Cognitive Architecture:
 A Case Study in Expertise*

Silver Stripling • John Lewis • Alexander Stripling
 volume of EXPERTISE

Contents

Introduction

1. What is Expertise?

2. Double-Minded Men, and Expertise Challenge Theories

3. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

4. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

5. LABOUR and the Expertise Challenge

6. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

7. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

8. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

9. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

10. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

11. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

12. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

13. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

14. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

15. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

16. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

17. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

18. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

19. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

20. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

21. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

22. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

23. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

24. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

25. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

26. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

27. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

28. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

29. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

30. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

31. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

32. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

33. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

34. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

35. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

36. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

37. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

38. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

39. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

40. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

41. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

42. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

43. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

44. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

45. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

46. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

47. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

48. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

49. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

50. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

51. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

52. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

53. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

54. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

55. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

56. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

57. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

58. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

59. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

60. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

61. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

62. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

63. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

64. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

65. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

66. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

67. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

68. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

69. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

70. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

71. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

72. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

73. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

74. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

75. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

76. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

77. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

78. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

79. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

80. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

81. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

82. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

83. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

84. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

85. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

86. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

87. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

88. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

89. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

90. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

91. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

92. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

93. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

94. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

95. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

96. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

97. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

98. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

99. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

100. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

101. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

102. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

103. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

104. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

105. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

106. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

107. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

108. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

109. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

110. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

111. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

112. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

113. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

114. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

115. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

116. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

117. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

118. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

119. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

120. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

121. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

122. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

123. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

124. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

125. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

126. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

127. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

128. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

129. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

130. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

131. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

132. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

133. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

134. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

135. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

136. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

137. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

138. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

139. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

140. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

141. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

142. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

143. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

144. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

145. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

146. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

147. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

148. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

149. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

150. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

151. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

152. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

153. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

154. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

155. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

156. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

157. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

158. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

159. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

160. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

161. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

162. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

163. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

164. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

165. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

166. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

167. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

168. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

169. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

170. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

171. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

172. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

173. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

174. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

175. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

176. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

177. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

178. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

179. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

180. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

181. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

182. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

183. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

184. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

185. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

186. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

187. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

188. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

189. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

190. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

191. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

192. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

193. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

194. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

195. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

196. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

197. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

198. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

199. The "Single-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

200. The "Two-Step" Change and the Expertise Challenge

Double-Minded Man

1. TWIRL - DAY

68-year-old Harriet Smith sits with two wrinkled hands firmly on the wheel of her rust-eaten Subaru wagon, staring straight ahead through the top level of bifocals as she waits serenely at a red light.

Harriet is alone in the car. To her right is another vehicle, also waiting, in this case to make a right turn; it's a sleek, low-slung, black Camaro.

We are inside the cabin with Harriet. The Subaru's sound system softly plays choral music. Harriet's lips move slightly as she internally sings along, mouthing a slow aria. Her head weaves slightly side to side, in the rhythm with the music. Things are calm as can be here inside the car with Harriet. There are a pair of well-worn Bibles on the empty passenger seat beside her, one with a gold-lettered 'Harriet' on its leather front cover, the other with a matching 'Joseph' on its front cover.

Harriet's eyes swivel up to the light: still red. We wait with her.

Suddenly there is a piercing SCREECH outside. Harriet jerks her head to the right and we follow her line of sight.

A sleek motorcycle has swerved out of its lane and is now streaking straight for the right side of the Camaro beside Harriet's car.

The bike slams with CLANG into the side of the Camaro. Its rider is flung up and forward into the air, twirling passed Harriet's windshield.

We now watch from Harriet's POV, in slow motion. The black-leather-clad motorcyclist sails by Harriet's windshield, airborne. We see a man's face, clearly: His elephant-hide skin tells us that he is well beyond middle-age. Yet thick, black curls of youthful hair emerge from under his helmet. The rider has only one half of a black, bushy, swept-out, waxed mustache. His eyes are weary and grey, and appear to lock with Harriet's for an instant.

We return to normal speed. The body is now lying on the incoming lane to the left of Harriet's Subaru, perfectly still on the blacktop, the head twisted into an impossible angle. Blood seeps from a nostril. Beside the lifeless head, a BMW medallion lies on the pavement, glinting in the sunlight.

$$\exists X [X(joseph) \wedge \neg X(m(harriet, joseph)) \wedge Sleazy(X)]$$

The Contemporary Craft of Creating Characters
Meets Today's Cognitive Architecture:
A Case Study in Engineering*

Silver Stroupel • John Lewis • Alexander Stroupel
Volume of *COGNITIVE*

Contents

Introduction

1. What is Engineering?

2. Psychological Risk and Responsibility Challenges: Theoretical

3. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

4. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

5. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

6. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

7. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

8. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

9. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

10. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

11. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

12. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

13. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

14. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

15. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

16. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

17. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

18. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

19. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

20. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

21. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

22. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

23. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

24. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

25. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

26. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

27. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

28. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

29. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

30. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

31. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

32. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

33. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

34. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

35. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

36. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

37. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

38. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

39. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

40. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

41. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

42. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

43. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

44. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

45. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

46. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

47. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

48. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

49. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

50. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

51. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

52. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

53. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

54. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

55. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

56. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

57. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

58. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

59. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

60. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

61. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

62. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

63. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

64. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

65. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

66. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

67. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

68. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

69. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

70. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

71. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

72. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

73. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

74. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

75. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

76. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

77. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

78. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

79. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

80. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

81. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

82. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

83. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

84. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

85. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

86. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

87. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

88. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

89. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

90. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

91. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

92. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

93. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

94. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

95. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

96. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

97. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

98. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

99. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

100. The "Big" Company and the Responsibility Challenge

Double-Minded Man

✓ $\exists X [X(joseph) \wedge \neg X(m(harriet, joseph)) \wedge Sleazy(X)]$

1. TWIRL - DAY

68-year-old Harriet Smith sits with two wrinkled hands firmly on the wheel of her rust-eaten Subaru wagon, staring straight ahead through the top level of bifocals as she waits serenely at a red light.

Harriet is alone in the car. To her right is another vehicle, also waiting, in this case to make a right turn; it's a sleek, low-slung, black Camaro.

We are inside the cabin with Harriet. The Subaru's sound system softly plays choral music. Harriet's lips move slightly as she internally sings along, mouthing a slow aria. Her head weaves slightly side to side, in the rhythm with the music.

Things are calm as can be here inside the car with Harriet. There are a pair of well-worn Bibles on the empty passenger seat beside her, one with a gold-lettered 'Harriet' on its leather front cover, the other with a matching 'Joseph' on its front cover.

Harriet's eyes swivel up to the light: still red. We wait with her.

Suddenly there is a piercing SCREECH outside. Harriet jerks her head to the right and we follow her line of sight.

A sleek motorcycle has swerved out of its lane and is now streaking straight for the right side of the Camaro beside Harriet's car.

The bike slams with CLANG into the side of the Camaro. Its rider is flung up and forward into the air, twirling passed Harriet's windshield.

We now watch from Harriet's POV, in slow motion. The black-leather-clad motorcyclist sails by Harriet's windshield, airborne. We see a man's face, clearly: His elephant-hide skin tells us that he is well beyond middle-age. Yet thick, black curls of youthful hair emerge from under his helmet. The rider has only one half of a black, bushy, swept-out, waxed mustache. His eyes are weary and grey, and appear to lock with Harriet's for an instant.

We return to normal speed. The body is now lying on the incoming lane to the left of Harriet's Subaru, perfectly still on the blacktop, the head twisted into an impossible angle. Blood seeps from a nostril. Beside the lifeless head, a BMW medallion lies on the pavement, glinting in the sunlight.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

Climbing the k -order Ladder

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

$Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

$\exists x[Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

FOL $\exists x[Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x[Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

$$\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge Likes(x, y) \wedge R(fatherOf(x))]$$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

SOL $\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge Likes(x, y) \wedge R(fatherOf(x))]$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL $\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge Likes(x, y) \wedge R(fatherOf(x))]$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(fatherOf(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

$$\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \textit{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL
$$\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge \textit{Likes}(x, y) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL
$$\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \textit{Likes}(x, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL
$$Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \textit{Likes}(a, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(a))$$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

TOL $\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \text{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL $\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge \text{Likes}(x, y) \wedge R(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \text{Likes}(x, b) \wedge Llama(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \text{Likes}(a, b) \wedge Llama(\text{fatherOf}(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

TOL $\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \text{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_3 Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL $\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge \text{Likes}(x, y) \wedge R(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_2 Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \text{Likes}(x, b) \wedge Llama(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_1 There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \text{Likes}(a, b) \wedge Llama(\text{fatherOf}(a))$

\mathcal{L}_0 a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

⋮

TOL $\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \textit{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_3 Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL $\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge \textit{Likes}(x, y) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_2 Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).


FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \textit{Likes}(x, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_1 There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \textit{Likes}(a, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(a))$


\mathcal{L}_0 a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

The Universe of Logics



\mathcal{L}_3
 \mathcal{L}_2

The Universe of Logics



The Universe of Logics

\mathcal{L}_3

\mathcal{L}_2

$\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega}$

\mathcal{DCEC}^*

$\mathcal{L}_1 =$ first-order logic

$\mathcal{L}_0 =$ zeroth-order logic

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{PROPCALC}} =$ propositional calculus

Infinitary

Finitary

Intensional

Extensional

Deductive

Inductive

Deductive

Inductive

Symbolic

Diagram

Symbolic

Diagram

Symbolic

Diagram

Symbolic

Diagram

Climbing the k -order Ladder

⋮

TOL $\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \textit{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_3 Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL $\exists x \exists y \exists R [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge \textit{Likes}(x, y) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_2 Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL $\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \textit{Likes}(x, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_1 There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL $Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge \textit{Likes}(a, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(a))$

\mathcal{L}_0 a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

⋮


TOL $\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \textit{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_3

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL

\mathcal{L}_2



$\textit{fatherOf}(x)]$

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL

\mathcal{L}_1

$\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(x))]$

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL

\mathcal{L}_0

$Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(\textit{fatherOf}(a))$

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Climbing the k -order Ladder

⋮


TOL

$\exists x, y \exists R, R^2 [R(x) \wedge R(y) \wedge R^2(x, y) \wedge \text{Positive}(R^2) \wedge R(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_3

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property; and, x R^2 s y , where R^2 is a positive property.

SOL



\mathcal{L}_2

Things x and y , along with the father of x , share a certain property (and x likes y).

FOL

$\exists x [Llama(x) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(x, b) \wedge Llama(\text{fatherOf}(x))]$

\mathcal{L}_1

There's some thing which is a llama and likes b (which is also a llama), and whose father is a llama too.

ZOL

$Llama(a) \wedge Llama(b) \wedge Likes(a, b) \wedge Llama(\text{fatherOf}(a))$

\mathcal{L}_0

a is a llama, as is b , a likes b , and the father of a is a llama as well.

Og med det .. er vårt klasse møte ferdig!