

Human Disemployment & ... The MiniMaxularity

Alexander Bringsjord
bringa@rpi.edu

Lally School of Management
Department of Cognitive Science
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI)

Troy NY USA

ILBAI
Sep 26 2024



Chapter 3: Human Disemployment & The MiniMaxularity

Introduction to Logic-Based AI
by S Bringsjord et al.

Crucial, Initial Point:
There is no consensus among pundits!

Crucial, Initial Point:
There is no consensus among pundits!

E.g. ...

Crucial, Initial Point: There is no consensus among pundits!

E.g. ...

A.I. Pioneers Call for Protections Against 'Catastrophic Risks'

Scientists from the United States, China and other nations called for an international authority to oversee artificial intelligence.

▶ Listen to this article · 6:27 min [Learn more](#)



From left: Stuart Russell, Andrew Yao, Yoshua Bengio and Ya-Qin Zhang are among the influential A.I. scientists who called for international collaboration to prevent serious risks posed by the technology. Massimo Pistore



By **Meaghan Tobin**

Reporting from Venice


Crucial, Initial Point: There is no consensus among pundits!

E.g. ...


***A.I. Pioneers Call for
Protections Against
'Catastrophic Risks'***

Scientists from the United States, China and other nations called for an international authority to oversee artificial intelligence.

▶ Listen to this article · 6:27 min [Learn more](#)



From left: Stuart Russell, Andrew Yao, Yoshua Bengio and Ya-Qin Zhang are among the influential A.I. scientists who called for international collaboration to prevent serious risks posed by the technology. Massimo Pistore

 **By Meaghan Tobin**
Reporting from Venice

~ “Human intelligence will be exceeded; the consequences could well be catastrophic, extending well *beyond* loss of jobs.”

Crucial, Initial Point:


There is no consensus among pundits!

E.g. ...


A.I. Pioneers Call for Protections Against ‘Catastrophic Risks’

Scientists from the United States, China and other nations called for an international authority to oversee artificial intelligence.

▶ Listen to this article · 6:27 min [Learn more](#)




From left: Stuart Russell, Andrew Yao, Yoshua Bengio and Ya-Qin Zhang are among the influential A.I. scientists who called for international collaboration to prevent serious risks posed by the technology. Massimo Pistore

 **By Meaghan Tobin**
Reporting from Venice

Will A.I. Be a Bust? A Wall Street Skeptic Rings the Alarm.

Jim Covello, Goldman Sachs’s head of stock research, warned that building too much of what the world doesn’t need “typically ends badly.”

▶ Listen to this article · 8:05 min [Learn more](#)



Jim Covello predicts that the A.I. boom will lose steam

~ “Human intelligence will be exceeded; the consequences could well be catastrophic, extending well *beyond* loss of jobs.”

Crucial, Initial Point:


There is no consensus among pundits!

E.g. ...


A.I. Pioneers Call for Protections Against ‘Catastrophic Risks’

Scientists from the United States, China and other nations called for an international authority to oversee artificial intelligence.

▶ Listen to this article · 6:27 min [Learn more](#)



From left: Stuart Russell, Andrew Yao, Yoshua Bengio and Ya-Qin Zhang are among the influential A.I. scientists who called for international collaboration to prevent serious risks posed by the technology. Massimo Pistore


 **By Meaghan Tobin**
Reporting from Venice

~ “Human intelligence will be exceeded; the consequences could well be catastrophic, extending well *beyond* loss of jobs.”

Will A.I. Be a Bust? A Wall Street Skeptic Rings the Alarm.

Jim Covello, Goldman Sachs’s head of stock research, warned that building too much of what the world doesn’t need “typically ends badly.”

▶ Listen to this article · 8:05 min [Learn more](#)



Jim Covello predicts that the A.I. boom will lose steam

~ “GenAI is fraught with errors and generally what humans do is beyond their reach; our jobs are safe.”

Are you listening to Professor
Bringsjord & @ a minimum
checking every day for
articles on AI in the NYT &
WSJ, & reading :)?

You already saw/heard that
The Singularity supposedly
approaches because ...

You already saw/heard that
The Singularity supposedly
approaches because ...

A:

You already saw/heard that
The Singularity supposedly
approaches because ...

A:

Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).

You already saw/heard that
The Singularity supposedly
approaches because ...

\mathcal{A} :

- Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).
Premise 2 If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).

You already saw/heard that
The Singularity supposedly
approaches because ...

A:

- Premise 1** There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).
- Premise 2** If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).
- Premise 3** If there is AI^+ , there will be AI^{++} (created by AI^+).

You already saw/heard that
The Singularity supposedly
approaches because ...

\mathcal{A} :

Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).

Premise 2 If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).

Premise 3 If there is AI^+ , there will be AI^{++} (created by AI^+).

\therefore **S** There will be AI^{++} ($= \mathcal{S}$ will occur).

The Singularity Supposedly Approaches because ...

\mathcal{A} :

Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).

Premise 2 If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).

Premise 3 If there is AI^+ , there will be AI^{++} (created by AI^+).

\therefore **S** There will be AI^{++} ($= \mathcal{S}$ will occur).

The Singularity Supposedly Approaches because ...

\mathcal{A} :

Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).

Premise 2 If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).

Premise 3 If there is AI^+ , there will be AI^{++} (created by AI^+).

\therefore **S** There will be AI^{++} ($= \mathcal{S}$ will occur).

(Good-Chalmers Argument)

(Kurzweil is an “extrapolationist.”)

The Singularity Supposedly Approaches because ...

\mathcal{A} :

Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).


Premise 2 If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).

Premise 3 If there is AI^+ , there will be AI^{++} (created by AI^+).

\therefore **S** There will be AI^{++} (= \mathcal{S} will occur).

(Good-Chalmers Argument)

(Kurzweil is an “extrapolationist.”)




T

ly

∴ A:
Premis
Premis
Premis
S

that AI = HI).
AI).
by AI⁺).



The Singularity Supposedly Approaches because ...

\mathcal{A} :

Premise 1 There will be AI (created by HI and such that $AI = HI$).


Premise 2 If there is AI, there will be AI^+ (created by AI).

Premise 3 If there is AI^+ , there will be AI^{++} (created by AI^+).

\therefore **S** There will be AI^{++} (= \mathcal{S} will occur).

(Good-Chalmers Argument)


(Kurzweil is an “extrapolationist.”)




This is by any metric
speculative.

**But!: The MiniMaxularity
WILL happen!**


A Realistic Timeline



A Realistic Timeline



A Realistic Timeline



Who else has seriously thought about
the AI-Dis/employment question
(or at least aspects thereof)?

Who else has seriously thought about
the AI-Dis/employment question
(or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)
- A Bringsjord et al.

Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)
- A Bringsjord et al.




Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?

- Kelso & Adler
- —● Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)
- A Bringsjord et al.




Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?



- Kelso & Adler
- —● Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)
- A Bringsjord et al.




Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?




- Kelso & Adler
- —● Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee ● —●
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)
- A Bringsjord et al.




Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?



- Kelso & Adler
- —● Herbert Simon
- Jim Albus
- Leontief & Duchin (automation)
- Nils Nilsson
- James Miller
- Brynjolfsson & McAfee ● —
- Bill Gates (“Tax the robots!” Really.)
- A Bringsjord et al.



Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?



- Kelso & Adler

- —● Herbert Simon

- Jim Albus

- —————●

- Leontief & Duchin (automation)



- Nils Nilsson


- James Miller

- Brynjolfsson & McAfee


- —————●

- Bill Gates ("Tax the robots!" Really.)

- A Bringsjord et al.



Who else has seriously thought about the AI-Dis/employment question (or at least aspects thereof)?



- Kelso & Adler

- ————— • Herbert Simon

- Jim Albus

- ————— •

- Leontief & Duchin (automation)



- Nils Nilsson



- James Miller

- Brynjolfsson & McAfee

- ————— •

- Bill Gates ("Tax the robots!" Really.)

- A Bringsjord et al.



Discussion in print of The MiniMaxularity,
which you should read (later) ...

Discussion in print of The MiniMaxularity, which you should read (later) ...

Chapter 6 The Singularity Business

Toward a Realistic, Fine-Grained Economics for an AI-Infused World

Selmer Bringsjord and Alexander Bringsjord

Abstract This is an essay on the Singularity business. Contrary to what many might expect upon parsing our title, we don't use 'the Singularity business' to refer to the general and multi-faceted discussion and debate surrounding the Singularity, that mythical future point in time when AI exceeds today's technology beyond what we can see from the present. Rather, we're concerned with business and economic questions relating to what we dub 'The MiniMaxularity', that *forseeable* future time when the AI of today simply matures.

Keywords Singularity • Machine intelligence • Automation • MiniMaxularity • Technological unemployment • Economics of computation

6.1 Introduction

This is an essay on the Singularity business. Contrary to what many might expect upon parsing the previous sentence, we don't use 'the Singularity business' to refer to the general and multi-faceted discussion and debate surrounding the Singularity.

We are greatly indebted to a number of colleagues for helpful comment and criticism, including that which catalyzed our rather more circumspect position on the hypothetical state of economics in a world with machines that have either near-human intelligence in some spheres (our $AI^{<10}$), human-level intelligence (our $AI^{=10}$), or super-human intelligence (our $AI^{>10}$). We are grateful for the guidance and leadership of Thomas Powers, and comments from an anonymous reviewer.

S. Bringsjord (✉)

Department of Cognitive Science; Department of Computer Science, Lally School of Management, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI), 12180, Troy, NY, USA
e-mail: Selmer.Bringsjord@gmail.com

A. Bringsjord

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, 300 Atlantic Street, 06901, Stamford, CT, USA

Discussion in print of The MiniMaxularity, which you should read (later) ...

http://kryten.mm.rpi.edu/The_Singularity_Business.pdf

Chapter 6 The Singularity Business

Toward a Realistic, Fine-Grained Economics for an AI-Infused World

Selmer Bringsjord and Alexander Bringsjord

Abstract This is an essay on the Singularity business. Contrary to what many might expect upon parsing our title, we don't use 'the Singularity business' to refer to the general and multi-faceted discussion and debate surrounding the Singularity, that mythical future point in time when AI exceeds today's technology beyond what we can see from the present. Rather, we're concerned with business and economic questions relating to what we dub 'The MiniMaxularity', that *forseeable* future time when the AI of today simply matures.

Keywords Singularity • Machine intelligence • Automation • MiniMaxularity • Technological unemployment • Economics of computation

6.1 Introduction

This is an essay on the Singularity business. Contrary to what many might expect upon parsing the previous sentence, we don't use 'the Singularity business' to refer to the general and multi-faceted discussion and debate surrounding the Singularity.

We are greatly indebted to a number of colleagues for helpful comment and criticism, including that which catalyzed our rather more circumspect position on the hypothetical state of economics in a world with machines that have either near-human intelligence in some spheres (our $AI^{<10}$), human-level intelligence (our $AI^{=10}$), or super-human intelligence (our $AI^{>10}$). We are grateful for the guidance and leadership of Thomas Powers, and comments from an anonymous reviewer.

S. Bringsjord (✉)

Department of Cognitive Science; Department of Computer Science, Lally School of Management, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI), 12180, Troy, NY, USA
e-mail: Selmer.Bringsjord@gmail.com

A. Bringsjord

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, 300 Atlantic Street, 06901, Stamford, CT, USA

Generative AI, Violating Trust



You

you said you didn't lie intentionally, so that means you lied. either you lied or you didn't lie. make up your mind. give me a direct answer.



ChatGPT

I apologize for any confusion. I did not intentionally lie. My purpose is always to provide accurate information to the best of my abilities. If there was any misunderstanding, I'm here to clarify.



You

you said you didn't lie intentionally, so that means you lied. either you lied or you didn't lie. make up your mind. give me a direct answer.



ChatGPT

I understand your concern. To clarify, I did not lie intentionally. If my response caused confusion or if there was any misunderstanding, I apologize.



You

how does one lie unintentionally?



Message ChatGPT...



Generative AI, Violating Trust (cont.)



You

how does one lie unintentionally?



ChatGPT

You're correct; lying typically implies an intentional act of deceit. When I mentioned "unintentional lying," it was a misstatement. My apologies for the confusion. It's essential to maintain clarity and accuracy in communication, and I'm here to provide straightforward and truthful responses to your questions.



You

so then you lied intentionally.



ChatGPT

I apologize for any confusion. To clarify, I did not lie intentionally. My aim is always to provide accurate information and assist you to the best of my abilities. If there's anything specific you'd like to discuss or any questions you have, feel free to let me know.

So, what *is* The
MiniMaxularity?

So, what *is* The MiniMaxularity?

Let us begin by assuming only that every piece of AI technology the early stages of which we see ... in labs and industry ... has fully matured. Now, do we e.g. still have human drivers of trucks on our interstate highways? ...

Bare logikk kan redde oss.

Bare logikk kan redde oss.

Only Logic Can Save Us


From Powerful-and-Autonomous AI & Robots

Making Morally  **Machines**

incorruptible
invulnerable
courageous
self-aware
creative
wise
courteous
heroic
⋮

SB • NSG • JL • AB

prelim version 0709240809OR



Slutten